


**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----	X	
WILLIE MILLER,	:	
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	
-against-	:	<u>VERDICT SHEET</u>
	:	
P.O. TIMOTHY TERRILLION,	:	16-CV-52 (ENV) (RLM)
	:	
Defendant.	:	
-----	X	

**WE, THE JURY, DULY DRAWN AND SWORN TO TRY
THIS ACTION, IN ACCORDANCE WITH PRINCIPLES OF
LAW AS CHARGED BY THE COURT, SAY WE FIND OUR
VERDICT BY ANSWERING THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS
SUBMITTED BY THE COURT:**

**I. DID PLAINTIFF PROVE BY A PREPONDERANCE OF
THE EVIDENCE THAT DEFENDANT FALSELY
ARRESTED HIM ON AUGUST 22, 2015?**



YES ✓

NO _____

**IF YOU ANSWERED “YES” TO QUESTION I, THEN PLEASE
PROCEED TO QUESTION II.**

**IF YOU ANSWERED “NO” TO QUESTION I, YOUR
DELIBERATIONS ARE FINISHED. SIGN AND DATE THE
VERDICT SHEET AND REPORT YOUR VERDICT TO THE
COURT.**

**II. DID PLAINTIFF PROVE BY A PREPONDERANCE OF
THE EVIDENCE THAT HE SUFFERED ACTUAL
COMPENSATORY DAMAGES AS A RESULT OF BEING
FALSELY ARRESTED?**

YES ✓

NO _____

**IF YOU ANSWERED “YES” TO QUESTION II, STATE THE
DOLLAR AMOUNT OF ANY ACTUAL COMPENSATORY
DAMAGES PLAINTIFF HAS PROVED, THEN PLEASE
PROCEED TO QUESTION III.**

\$ 500,000

**IF YOU ANSWERED “NO” TO QUESTION II, STATE A
DOLLAR AMOUNT OF \$1.00 IN NOMINAL DAMAGES, TO BE
AWARDED TO PLAINTIFF, THEN PLEASE PROCEED TO
QUESTION III.**

\$ _____

**III. DID PLAINTIFF PROVE BY A PREPONDERANCE OF
THE EVIDENCE THAT HE IS ENTITLED TO PUNITIVE
DAMAGES?**


YES _____

NO ✓

**IF YOU ANSWERED “YES” TO QUESTION III, STATE THE
AMOUNT OF PUNITIVE DAMAGES, THEN SIGN AND DATE
THE VERDICT SHEET AND REPORT YOUR VERDICT TO
THE COURT.**

\$ _____

**IF YOU ANSWERED “NO” TO QUESTION III, YOUR
DELIBERATIONS ARE FINISHED. SIGN AND DATE THE
VERDICT SHEET AND REPORT YOUR VERDICT TO THE
COURT.**



FOREPERSON’S SIGNATURE

Feb. 21, 2020

DATE

**DID DETECTIVE TERRILLION REASONABLY BELIEVE,
EVEN IF MISTAKEN, THAT HE OBSERVED PLAINTIFF ON
THE HAMMEL HOUSE PROPERTY?**

YES _____

NO ☒ _____

